# **Security Testing**

Checking for what shouldn't happen

Azqa Nadeem PhD Student @ Cyber Security Group

The Cyber Security lecture series



## Agenda for today

- Part I
  - Latest security news
  - Security vulnerabilities in Java
  - Types of Security testing
    - SAST vs. DAST
- Part II
  - SAST under the hood
    - Pattern Matching
    - Control Flow Analysis
    - Data Flow Analysis
  - SAST Tools performance



#### Announcements

- Assignment 2 Security module
- Exam questions



#### **EEMCS DIVERSITY LUNCHES**

<sup>™</sup> 14<sup>th</sup> May 2019 ○12:30h ♀ Square, in Pulse. Building 33A →



## **WOMEN OF COMPUTER SCIENCE**

Two former students from Computer Science are going to share their experience at EEMCS and their current career:

Valentine Mairet

Ginger Geneste

Everyone is welcome to join © <u>Register to get free lunch</u>! QR code:



#### Agenda for today

- Part I
  - Latest security news
  - Security vulnerabilities in Java
  - Types of Security testing
    - SAST vs. DAST
- Part II
  - SAST under the hood
    - Pattern Matching
    - Control Flow Analysis
    - Data Flow Analysis
  - SAST Tools performance



Software testing vs. Security testing



#### Impact – Stolen chats

## Another severe flaw in Signal desktop app lets hackers steal your chats in plaintext

🛗 May 16, 2018 🛔 Swati Khandelwal







https://ivan.barreraoro.com.ar/signal-desktop-html-tag-injection/

#### Impact – Github down

#### **Biggest-Ever DDoS Attack (1.35 Tbs) Hits Github Website**

🛗 March 02, 2018 🛔 Mohit Kumar





On Wednesday, February 28, 2018, GitHub's code hosting website hit with the largest-ever distributed denial of service (DDoS) attack that peaked at record 1.35 Tbps.

https://thehackernews.com/2018/03/biggest-ddos-attack-github.html

#### Impact – Github down

#### **Biggest-Ever DDoS Attack (1.35 Tbs) Hits Github Website**

🛗 March 02, 2018 🛔 Mohit Kumar





On Wednesday, February 28, 2018, GitHub's code hosting website hit with the largest-ever distributed denial of service (DDoS) attack that peaked at record 1.35 Tbps.

#### Is Java Secure?

- Secure from memory corruption
- ... but not completely
- Potential targets
  - Java Virtual Machine
  - Libraries in native code

devices run Java		<b>15 billion</b> devices run Java
------------------	--	---------------------------------------



#### Vulnerability databases

- OWASP Top Ten project
  - Awareness document
  - Web application security

OWASP Top 10 Application Security Risks - 2017

#### A1:2017-Injection

Injection flaws, such as SQL, NoSQL, OS, and LDAP injection, occur when untrusted without proper authorization.

#### A2:2017-Broken Authentication

Application functions related to authentication and session management are often im temporarily or permanently.

#### A3:2017-Sensitive Data Exposure

Many web applications and APIs do not properly protect sensitive data, such as finar compromised without extra protection, such as encryption at rest or in transit, and rec

- NIST National Vulnerability Database
  - U.S govt. repository
  - General security flaws

Name	
CVE-2019-9624	Webmin 1.900 allows remote attackers to execute arbitra
CVE-2019-5312	An issue was discovered in weixin-java-tools v3.3.0. Then
CVE-2019-3801	Cloud Foundry cf-deployment, versions prior to 7.9.0, con the dependency, and inject malicious code into the compc
<u>CVE-2019-2699</u>	Vulnerability in the Java SE component of Oracle Java SE via multiple protocols to compromise Java SE. While the v vulnerability applies to Java deployments, typically in clier rely on the Java sandbox for security. This vulnerability ca and Availability impacts). CVSS Vector: (CVSS:3.0/AV:N/+
CVE-2019-2698	Vulnerability in the Java SE component of Oracle Java SE access via multiple protocols to compromise Java SE. Suc Start applications or sandboxed Java applets (in Java SE & deployments, typically in servers, that load and run only t (CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:H/PR:N/UI:N/S:U/C:H/I:H/A:H).

2



#### **JRE vulnerabilities**





https://www.cvedetails.com/product/19116/Oracle-JDK.html?vendor\_id=93

#### **JRE vulnerabilities**





https://www.cvedetails.com/product/19116/Oracle-JDK.html?vendor\_id=93

## **Some Examples**



#### What's wrong?

```
Socket socket = null;
BufferedReader readerBuffered = null;
InputStreamReader readerInputStream = null;
/* Read data using an outbound tcp connection */
socket = new Socket("host.example.org", 39544);
/* read input from socket */
readerInputStream = new InputStreamReader(socket.getInputStream(), "UTF-8");
readerBuffered = new BufferedReader(readerInputStream);
/* Read data using an outbound tcp connection */
String data = readerBuffered.readLine();
```

```
Class<?> tempClass = Class.forName(data);
Object tempClassObject = tempClass.newInstance();
```

IO.writeLine(tempClassObject.toString()); /\* Use tempClassObject in some way \*/



- Execute code in unauthorized applications
- Victim to Update Attack



- Execute code in unauthorized applications
- Victim to Update Attack





- Execute code in unauthorized applications
- Victim to Update Attack





- Execute code in unauthorized applications
- Victim to Update Attack





- Execute code in unauthorized applications
- Victim to Update Attack





- Execute code in unauthorized applications
- Victim to Update Attack





- Execute code in unauthorized applications
- Victim to Update Attack
- Top vulnerability in OWASP Top 10



- Execute code in unauthorized applications
- Victim to Update Attack
- Top vulnerability in OWASP Top 10
- Tricky to fix
  - Stop adding plugins
  - Limit privileges

)elft

#### Type confusion vulnerability

#### WHEN JAVA THROWS YOU A LEMON, MAKE LIMENADE: SANDBOX ESCAPE BY TYPE CONFUSION

April 25, 2018 | Vincent Lee

Last week, Oracle released their quarterly Critical Patch Update (CPU). Seven of these bugs were submitted through the Zero Day Initiative (ZDI) program, and one of these bugs was quite reminiscent of the Java submissions in late 2012 and early 2013. The bug, CVE-2018-2826 (ZDI-18-307), is a sandbox escape vulnerability due to insufficient type checking discovered by XOR19. An attacker with low execution privileges may exploit this vulnerability to bypass the SecurityManager and escalate privileges.

UDelft

#### Type confusion vulnerability



**ŤU**Delft

https://www.thezdi.com/blog/2018/4/25/when-java-throws-you-a-lemon-make-limenade-sandbox-escape-by-type-confusion 26

• Exploit Type confusion vulnerability





• Exploit Type confusion vulnerability







• Exploit Type confusion vulnerability





- Exploit Type confusion vulnerability
- Escalated privileges





- Exploit Type confusion vulnerability
- Escalated privileges





- Exploit Type confusion vulnerability
- Escalated privileges
  - Set JSM to null





- Vulnerable: Hibernate  $\rightarrow$  Reflection helper
- Exploit Type confusion vulnerability
- Escalated privileges
  - Set JSM to null





## Arbitrary Code Execution (ACE)

- Vulnerable: XStream  $\rightarrow$  Converts XML to Object
- Deserialization vulnerability





## Arbitrary Code Execution (ACE)

- Vulnerable: XStream  $\rightarrow$  Converts XML to Object
- Deserialization vulnerability





## Arbitrary Code Execution (ACE)

- Vulnerable: XStream  $\rightarrow$  Converts XML to Object
- Deserialization vulnerability




## Arbitrary Code Execution (ACE)

- Vulnerable: XStream → Converts XML to Object
- Deserialization vulnerability
  - Via malicious input XML





## Arbitrary Code Execution (ACE)

- Vulnerable: XStream → Converts XML to Object
- Deserialization vulnerability
  - Via malicious input XML









https://pivotal.io/security/cve-2018-1273







https://pivotal.io/security/cve-2018-1273







https://pivotal.io/security/cve-2018-1273

- Spring Data Commons  $\rightarrow$  DB connections
- Property binder vulnerability
  - Via specially crafted request parameters





## Oracle April 2018 CPU: Most Java flaws can be remotely exploited

By News April 18, 2018 Alerts

# Half of the Java patches relate to Deserialization Flaws.

Customer Alert 20180418

Oracle Critical Patch Update April 2018 Released



**J**Delft



- Security testing  $\rightarrow$  Non-functional testing
- Who's job is to test for security?





### When to test for security?





Manual vs. Automated Testing





- Manual vs. Automated Testing
- Static vs. Dynamic Testing





- Manual vs. Automated Testing
- Static vs. Dynamic Testing
- Black vs. White box Testing





- Manual vs. Automated Testing
- Static vs. Dynamic Testing
- Black vs. White box Testing

**J**Delft



### Manual vs. Automated Testing

- Manual
  - Code reviews
  - Efficient use of human expertise
  - Labour intensive





### Manual vs. Automated Testing

- Manual
  - Code reviews
  - Efficient use of human expertise
  - Labour intensive
- Automated
  - Automated code checking
  - Can check MLOC in seconds
  - Incomparable to human expertise







- Manual vs. Automated Testing
- Static vs. Dynamic Testing
- Black vs. White box Testing

**U**Delft



## Static vs. Dynamic Testing

- (Automated) Static analysis
  - Code review by computers
  - Checks all possible code paths
  - Relatively easy to extract results
  - Limited capabilities

## Static vs. Dynamic Testing

- (Automated) Static analysis
  - Code review by computers
  - Checks all possible code paths
  - Relatively easy to extract results
  - Limited capabilities
- Dynamic analysis
  - Execute code and observe behaviour
  - Checks functional code paths only
  - Much advanced analysis
  - Difficult to set up



- Manual vs. Automated Testing
- Static vs. Dynamic Testing
- Black vs. White box Testing

**Ú**UDelft



### Black vs. White box Testing

- Black box
  - Unknown internal structure
  - Study Input  $\rightarrow$  Output correlation
  - Generic technique
  - Requires end-to-end system
  - May miss components





### Black vs. White box Testing

- Black box
  - Unknown internal structure
  - Study Input  $\rightarrow$  Output correlation
  - Generic technique
  - Requires end-to-end system
  - May miss components
- White box
  - Known internal structure
  - Analysis of internal structure
  - GUI not necessarily required
  - Thorough testing and debugging
  - Time consuming





11001 01011 1000

**TU**Delft

- Manual vs. Automated Testing
- Static vs. Dynamic Testing
- Black vs. White box Testing

**ŤU**Delft



#### **Static Application Security Testing**

- Reverse engineering (System level)
  - Disassemble application to extract internal structure
  - Black box to White box
  - Useful for gaining information





#### **Static Application Security Testing**

- Reverse engineering (System level)
- Risk-based testing (Business level)
  - Model worst case scenarios
  - Threat modelling for test case generation





### **Static Application Security Testing**

- Reverse engineering (System level)
- Risk-based testing (Business level)
- Static code checker (Unit level)
  - Checks for rule violations via code structure
  - Parsers, Control Flow graphs, Data flow analysis
  - Identifies bad coding practices, potential security issues, etc.





- Manual vs. Automated Testing
- Static vs. Dynamic Testing
- Black vs. White box Testing

**ŤU**Delft



### **Dynamic Application Security Testing**

- Taint analysis
  - Tracking variable values controlled by user
- Fuzzing
  - Bombard with garbage data to cause crashes
- Dynamic validation
  - Functional testing based on requirements
- Penetration testing
  - End-to-end black box testing

#### Topic for next lecture

63

### Summary Part I

- Java vulnerabilities have large attack surfaces
- Crucial to adapt Secure SDLC
- Threat modelling can drive test case generation
- Static analysis checks code without executing it
- Dynamic analysis executes code and observes behavior



### **Quiz Time!**

## Which type of testing aims to convert a black box system to white box?

#### **Reverse Engineering**



### **Quiz Time!**

## Which vulnerability allows a remote attacker to change which instruction will be executed next?

#### **Remote Code Execution**



### **Quiz Time!**

#### Why is Java safe from buffer overflows?

#### It's not!



## Agenda for today

- Part I
  - Latest security news
  - Security vulnerabilities in Java
  - Types of Security testing
    - SAST vs. DAST
- Part II
  - SAST under the hood
    - Pattern Matching
    - Control Flow Analysis
    - Data Flow Analysis
  - SAST Tools performance



#### Why doesn't the perfect static analysis tool exist?



### **Static Analysis**

Soundness

Completeness



### **Static Analysis**

- Soundness
  - No missed vulnerability (0 FNs)
  - No alarm  $\rightarrow$  no vulnerability exists
- Completeness





### **Static Analysis**

- Soundness
  - No missed vulnerability (0 FNs)
  - No alarm  $\rightarrow$  no vulnerability exists
- Completeness
  - No false alarms (0 FPs)
  - Raises an alarm  $\rightarrow$  vulnerability found




# **Static Analysis**

- Soundness
  - No missed vulnerability (0 FNs)
  - No alarm  $\rightarrow$  no vulnerability exists
- Completeness
  - No false alarms (0 FPs)
  - Raises an alarm  $\rightarrow$  vulnerability found



	-
-	

- Reality: Compromise on FPs or FNs



#### **Usable SAST Tools**

- ↓ FPs vs. ↓ FNs
- ↑ Interpretability
- ↑ Scalability

















- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata



- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of "bug"





- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of "bug"





- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of "bug"





- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of "bug"



**U**Delft

- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of "bug"



**U**Delft

- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of "bug"



**U**Delft

- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of "bug"

**U**Delft



- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of "bug"





- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of "bug"





- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of "bug"



**J**Delft

- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of "bug"

Delft



88

- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of "bug"

**U**Delft



- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of ".\*bug"





- Look for predefined patterns in code
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of ".\*bug"





- Look for predefined patterns in code •
  - Regular Expressions
  - Finite State Automata
- Find all instances of ".\*bug.\*" •





- Finds low hanging fruit
  - Misconfigurations (port 22 open for everyone)
  - Bad imports (System.io.\*)
  - Call to dangerous functions (strcpy, memcpy)

Velft

- Finds low hanging fruit
  - Misconfigurations (port 22 open for everyone)
  - Bad imports (System.io.\*)
  - Call to dangerous functions (strcpy, memcpy)
- Shortcomings
  - Lots of FPs
  - Limited support



- Finds low hanging fruit
  - Misconfigurations (port 22 open for everyone)
  - Bad imports (System.io.\*)
  - Call to dangerous functions (strcpy, memcpy)
- Shortcomings
  - Lots of FPs
  - Limited support

```
boolean DEBUG = false;
if (DEBUG) {
    System.out.println("Debug line 1");
    System.out.println("Debug line 2");
    System.out.println("Debug line 3");
  }
```



- Finds low hanging fruit
  - Misconfigurations (port 22 open for everyone)
  - Bad imports (System.io.\*)
  - Call to dangerous functions (strcpy, memcpy)
- Shortcomings
  - Lots of FPs
  - Limited support



# Syntactic Analysis

Performed via Parsers



- Tokens  $\rightarrow$  Hierarchal data structures
  - Parse Tree Concrete representation
  - Abstract Syntax Tree Abstract representation



#### 2+4\*(5-1)

**ŤU**Delft

















DEBUG = false;

if (DEBUG) {
 System.out.println("Debug line 1");
 System.out.println("Debug line 2");
 System.out.println("Debug line 3");



DEBUG = false;

if (DEBUG) {

System.out.println("Debug line 1");

System.out.println("Debug line 2");
System.out.println("Debug line 3");



DEBUG = false;

if (DEBUG) {

System.out.println("Debug line 1");

System.out.println("Debug line 2");

System.out.println("Debug line 3");





DEBUG = false;

if (DEBUG) {

System.out.println("Debug line 1");

System.out.println("Debug line 2");
System.out.println("Debug line 3");





DEBUG = false;

if (DEBUG) {

System.out.println("Debug line 1");
System.out.println("Debug line 2");

System.out.println("Debug line 2");





DEBUG = false;

if (DEBUG) {

System.out.println("Debug line 1");
System.out.println("Debug line 2");

System.out.println("Debug line 2");




## Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)

DEBUG = false;

if (DEBUG) {

System.out.println("Debug line 1");

System.out.println("Debug line 2");
System.out.println("Debug line 3");











#### Rule # 1: Allow 3 methods





#### Rule # 1: Allow 3 methods







Rule # 1: Allow 3 methods









Rule # 1: Allow 3 methods





xyz()





Rule # 2: printf(format\_string, args\_to\_print)





Rule # 2: printf(format\_string, args\_to\_print)













- Shows all execution paths a program *might* take
- Trace execution without executing program
- Nodes  $\rightarrow$  Basic blocks
- Transitions  $\rightarrow$  Control transfers



- Shows all execution paths a program *might* take
- Trace execution without executing program
- Nodes  $\rightarrow$  Basic blocks
- Transitions  $\rightarrow$  Control transfers





```
public void fibb(int n) {
    int i = 0;
   int next = -1;
   int a = 0;
    int b = 1;
    while (i <= n) {</pre>
        printf(" %d ", a);
        next = a + b;
        a = b;
        b = next;
        i++;
```



```
public void fibb(int n) {
    int i = 0;
    int next = -1;
   int a = 0;
    int b = 1;
    while (i <= n) {</pre>
        printf(" %d ", a);
        next = a + b;
        a = b;
        b = next;
        i++;
```





```
public void fibb(int n) {
    int i = 0;
    int next = -1;
    int a = 0;
    int b = 1;
    while (i <= n) {</pre>
        printf(" %d ", a);
        next = a + b;
        a = b;
        b = next;
        i++;
```





```
public void fibb(int n) {
    int i = 0;
   int next = -1;
   int a = 0;
    int b = 1;
    while (i <= n) {</pre>
        printf(" %d ", a);
        next = a + b;
        a = b;
        b = next;
        i++;
```





```
public void fibb(int n) {
    int i = 0;
   int next = -1;
   int a = 0;
    int b = 1;
    while (i <= n) {</pre>
        printf(" %d ", a);
        next = a + b;
        a = b;
        b = next;
        i++;
```





**ŤU**Delft



**ŤU**Delft



**ŤU**Delft



**ŤU**Delft



**ŤU**Delft



**ŤU**Delft



**ŤU**Delft



**ŤU**Delft



**ŤU**Delft



- Tracks data values throughout program
- Shows all values variables *might* have
- User controlled variable (Source)  $\rightarrow$  Tainted
- Rest (Sink)  $\rightarrow$  Untainted



- Prove that
  - No untainted data is expected
  - No tainted data is used



- Prove that
  - No untainted data is expected
  - No tainted data is used





- Prove that
  - No untainted data is expected
  - No tainted data is used





## Source/Sink Clash





- Reaching definitions
  - Top-down approach
  - Possible values of a variable

```
int b = 0;
int c = 1;
for(int a = 0; a < 3; a++) {
    if (a > 1)
        b = 10;
    else
        c = b;
}
return b, c;
```



- Reaching definitions
  - Top-down approach
  - Possible values of a variable



```
int b = 0;
int c = 1;
for(int a = 0; a < 3; a++) {
    if (a > 1)
        b = 10;
    else
        c = b;
}
return b, c;
```



- Reaching definitions
  - Top-down approach
  - Possible values of a variable

```
int b = 0;
int c = 1;
for(int a = 0; a < 3; a++) {
    if (a > 1)
        b = 10;
    else
        c = b;
}
return b, c;
```





- Reaching definitions
  - Top-down approach
  - Possible values of a variable

```
int b = 0;
int c = 1;
for(int a = 0; a < 3; a++) {
    if (a > 1)
        b = 10;
    else
        c = b;
}
return b, c;
```





- Reaching definitions
  - Top-down approach
  - Possible values of a variable

```
int b = 0;
int c = 1;
for(int a = 0; a < 3; a++) {
    if (a > 1)
        b = 10;
    else
        c = b;
}
return b, c;
```




- Reaching definitions
  - Top-down approach
  - Possible values of a variable

```
int b = 0;
int c = 1;
for(int a = 0; a < 3; a++) {
    if (a > 1)
        b = 10;
    else
        c = b;
}
return b, c;
```





- Reaching definitions
  - Top-down approach
  - Possible values of a variable

```
int b = 0;
int c = 1;
for(int a = 0; a < 3; a++) {
    if (a > 1)
        b = 10;
    else
        c = b;
}
return b, c;
```





- Reaching definitions
  - Top-down approach
  - Possible values of a variable

```
int b = 0;
int c = 1;
for(int a = 0; a < 3; a++) {
    if (a > 1)
        b = 10;
    else
        c = b;
}
return b, c;
```





- Reaching definitions
  - Top-down approach
  - Possible values of a variable

```
int b = 0;
int c = 1;
for(int a = 0; a < 3; a++) {
    if (a > 1)
        b = 10;
    else
        c = b;
}
return b, c;
```





- Reaching definitions
  - Top-down approach
  - Possible values of a variable

```
int b = 0;
int c = 1;
for(int a = 0; a < 3; a++) {
    if (a > 1)
        b = 10;
    else
        c = b;
}
return b, c;
```





















	а	b	С
b1	-	0	1
b2		-	
b3			
b4			
b5			
b6			





	а	b	С
b1	-	0	1
b2	0, a++	-	-
b3			
b4			
b5			
b6			





	а	b	С
b1	-	0	1
b2	0, a++	-	-
b3	-	-	-
b4			
b5			
b6			





	а	b	С
b1	-	0	1
b2	0, a++	-	-
b3	-	-	-
b4	-	10	-
b5			
b6			





	а	b	С
b1	-	0	1
b2	0, a++	Ι	-
b3	-	-	-
b4	-	10	-
b5	-	-	b
b6			





	а	b	С
b1	-	0	1
b2	0, a++	-	-
b3	-	-	-
b4	-	10	-
b5	-	-	b
b6	-	-	-





	а	b	С
b1	-	0	1
b2	0, a++	-	-
b3	-	-	-
b4	-	10	-
b5	-	-	b
b6	-	_	-





	а	b	С
b1	-	0	1
b2	0, a++	-	-
b3	Ι	-	-
b4	Ι	10	-
b5	-	-	b
b6	-	_	-

Data Flow Analysis a = {0, 1, 2, 3, ...}





	а	b	С
b1	-	0	1
b2	0, a++	-	-
b3	Ι	-	-
b4	Ι	10	-
b5	-	-	b
b6	-	_	-

Data Flow Analysis a = {0, 1, 2, 3, ...} b = {0, 10}





	а	b	С
b1	-	0	1
b2	0, a++	-	-
b3	-	-	-
b4	-	10	-
b5	-	-	b
b6	-	-	-





	а	b	С
b1	-	0	1
b2	0, a++	-	-
b3	Ι	-	-
b4	Ι	10	-
b5	-	-	b
b6	-	_	-

Data Flow Analysis  

$$a = \{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$$
  
 $b = \{0, 10\}$   
 $c = \{1, b\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, 10\}$ 





	а	b	С
b1	-	0	1
b2	0, a++	-	-
b3	Ι	-	-
b4	-	10	-
b5	-	-	b
b6	-	_	-

Data Flow Analysis  

$$a = \{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$$
  
 $b = \{0, 10\}$   
 $c = \{1, b\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, 10\}$ 

Sound but imprecise



Source/Sink clash



- Source/Sink clash
  - Sanitization problems
  - Code injection (Update attack)
  - Deserialization vulnerability

Delft

- Source/Sink clash
  - Sanitization problems
  - Code injection (Update attack)
  - Deserialization vulnerability
- Control and Data flow analysis

Delft

- Source/Sink clash
  - Sanitization problems
  - Code injection (Update attack)
  - Deserialization vulnerability
- Control and Data flow analysis
  - Type confusion vulnerability
  - Use-after-free vulnerability



- Source/Sink clash
  - Sanitization problems
  - Code injection (Update attack)
  - Deserialization vulnerability
- Control and Data flow analysis
  - Type confusion vulnerability
  - Use-after-free vulnerability
- Denial of Service??
- Crashes??



## **Static Analysis Tools**

- Open source
  - **Pind**
  - checkstyle
  - SpotBugs
  - { indSecBugs
- Proprietary
  - Overity
  - 🕑 CheckMarx



# **Static Analysis Tools**

- Open source
  - **Pini** 
    - Ruleset based code checker
  - checkstyle
    - Checks coding standards
    - 🔍 SpotBugs
      - Checks Java bytecode for bad practices, code style, and injections
  - { if FindSecBugs
    - Checks for OWASP Top 10 vulnerabilities
- Proprietary
  - 🥑 Coverity
    - SAST platform for defects and security vulnerabilities
  - CheckMarx
    - Full fledge platform for static analysis and exposure management





# **Static Analysis Tools**

- Open source
  - - Ruleset based code checker
  - checkstyle
    - Checks coding standards
  - 🔍 SpotBugs
    - Checks Java bytecode for bad practices, code style, and injections
  - { if FindSecBugs
    - Checks for OWASP Top 10 vulnerabilities
- Proprietary
  - 🥑 Coverity
    - SAST platform for defects and security vulnerabilities
  - 🖸 CheckMarx
    - Full fledge platform for static analysis and exposure management



- Telenor Digital wants to incorporate security into SDLC
- Investigate developer perceptions of SAST tools

Myths and Facts About Static Application Security Testing Tools: An Action Research at Telenor Digital

Tosin Daniel Oyetoyan $^{1(\boxtimes)},$ Bisera Milosheska², Mari Grini², and Daniela Soares Cruzes $^1$ 

Department of Software Engineering, Safety and Security, SINTEF Digital, Trondheim, Norway {tosin.oyetoyan,danielac}@sintef.no <sup>2</sup> Telenor Digital, Oslo, Norway {bisera.milosheska,mari}@telenordigital.com

**Abstract.** It is claimed that integrating agile and security in practice is challenging. There is the notion that security is a heavy process, requires

173



- Using Juliet Test Suite 24,000 test cases
- **Precision** Ability to guess correct type of flaw





- Using Juliet Test Suite 24,000 test cases
- **Precision** Ability to guess correct type of flaw
- Recall Ability to find flaws





- Using Juliet Test Suite 24,000 test cases
- Precision Ability to guess correct type of flaw
- Recall Ability to find flaws



## **SAST Dev Perceptions**

- *". . . Making the things actually work, that usually is the worst thing. The hassle-factor is not to be underestimated. . . "*
- "... At least from my experience with the Sonar tool is that it sometimes complains about issues that are not really issues..."
- "... And of course in itself is not productive, nobody gives you a hug after fixing SonarQube reports..."



## **SAST Dev Perceptions**

- *". . . Making the things actually work, that usually is the worst thing. The hassle-factor is not to be underestimated. . . "*
- "... At least from my experience with the Sonar tool is that it sometimes complains about issues that are not really issues..."
- "... And of course in itself is not productive, nobody gives you a hug after fixing SonarQube reports..."

- Using one SAST tool is not enough
- Low capability of SAST tools in general.
- Commercial tool not an exception



# Summary Part II

- Perfect static analysis is not possible
- Pattern matching can find limited but easy to find problems
- ASTs make code structure analysis easy
- Control and Data FGs are better at finding security vulnerabilities
- Current SAST Tools are
  - Useful
  - Difficult to integrate
  - Limited in capabilities



## **Additional Material**

- <u>https://www.theserverside.com/feature/Stay-ahead-of-Java-security-issues-like-SQL-and-LDAP-injections</u>
- <u>https://www.upguard.com/articles/top-10-java-vulnerabilities-and-how-to-fix-them</u>
- <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Static\_program\_analysis</u>
- <u>https://youtu.be/Heor8BVa4A0</u>
- <u>https://youtu.be/7KCMK-LY-WM</u>
- Aktas, Kursat, and Sevil Sen. "UpDroid: Updated Android Malware and Its Familial Classification." *Nordic Conference on Secure IT Systems*. Springer, Cham, 2018.



Icons courtesy: www.flaticons.com by FlatIcons, FreePik, SmashIcons, Eucalyp, Monkik
## Time for questions

#### **ŤU**Delft

### **Data Flow Analysis**



→ Control

### **Data Flow Analysis**



 $\overset{\longrightarrow}{\mathbf{TUDelft}} \overset{\longrightarrow}{\mathbf{Data}}$ 

#### **Data Flow Analysis**



 $\overset{\longrightarrow}{\mathbf{TUDelft}} \overset{\longrightarrow}{\mathbf{Data}}$ 

# **Overflow vulnerability**

- This vulnerability allows remote attackers to execute arbitrary code on vulnerable installations of Oracle Java. The user must visit a malicious page or open a malicious file to exploit this vulnerability.
- The flaw exists within the handling of image data. The issue lies in insufficient validation of supplied image data inside the native function readImage(). An attacker can leverage this vulnerability to execute arbitrary code under the context of the current process.

